The First

Page 12 **SPE Update from NPD**

In it for the long haul

by Astri Sivertsen, Norwegian Petroleum Directorate (NPD)

In a world of dwindling petroleum resources, Norway is a lucky exception. More than half of its oil and gas is still waiting to be produced.

This year marks the fiftieth anniversary of the very first exploration well spudded on the Norwegian continental shelf (NCS). Since production began in the early 1970s, the oil and gas industry has contributed more than NOK 12 000 billion in current value to the country's gross domestic product. Roughly 100 fields have been brought on stream during these last four decades, and the petroleum sector has become Norway's largest, in terms of government reve-

nues, investments and export value.

Eighty-two fields were in operation at the end of 2015, compared with 51 ten years earlier. This illustrates the massive development activity that has taken place in recent years.

From production started to the end of 2015, the NCS has yielded 6.9 billion standard cubic metres over 43 billion barrels - of oil equivalents. According to the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate's estimates, the NCS contains a total of over 14 billion scm oe petroleum.

"More than half of the resources on the Norwegian continental shelf have yet to be produced. This provides a basis for continued oil and gas production for many decades to come." says

Bente Nyland, director general of the NPD.

At the turn of the year, 53 companies were involved on the NCS - twice as many as in 2000. New players mean greater diversity, which in turn may spur new and innovative ideas, and thus contribute to further discoveries and enhanced value creation, she adds. We may also see more companies joining forces to strengthen their positions, like the recent merger between Det norske and BP

The petroleum industry is currently under pressure from low oil prices and high costs, and Nyland sees a tendency for companies to prioritise short-term earnings over longterm value creation.



She does, however, acknowledge that the industry has invested a lot of effort in increasing efficiency, and that we are beginning to see results in the form of lower costs. For a selection of fields currently being planned, the cost estimates dropped 20 per cent from the autumn of 2014 to the

autumn of 2015, and has since continued to

Furthermore, oil prices have nearly doubled since the beginning of this year. But experience tells us that the industry will probably need a couple of years to gear up to full speed, the director general observes.

Nearly 3 billion scm oe – 20 per cent of the total resource base on the NCS - are still to be discovered. Almost half of this volume is believed to lie in the Barents Sea, and one fourth in the Norwegian and North Sea respectively. As described in the NPD's Resource report of April this year, liquid is likely to account for about half of the total undiscovered resources. The estimate for liquid is highest in the Barents Sea and lowest in the Norwegian Sea. Where gas resources are concerned, the estimate is significantly higher in the Barents Sea than in the other parts of the NCS.

"The Barents Sea has become a very promising petroleum province," says the director general, and refers to the recent, 23rd licensing round where all ten production licences are located in this area. For the first time since 1994, new exploration acreage was made available to the industry, and the new blocks in the southeastern Barents Sea generated a lot of interest. In addition to three production licences in the new area, new blocks near the most promising, previous discoveries were also awarded.

In the southeastern Barents Sea blocks, licensees are required to drill four exploration wells within three years. The first well is planned for next year already.

"The drilling of new wells in this region will provide us with valuable knowledge about the Barents Sea. Hopefully, it will also strengthen the resource base for future developments," Nyland concludes.

Page 13

The First

SPE Update from Innovation

Organisations don't innovate—people do

by Katinka R. Kolsaker, Senior Advisor and Partner (translated by Silje Graffer)



Katinka R. Kolsaker katinka@innoco.no

www.innoco.no

Why innovate? The answer is cesses: Employee-driven inno- 1) Competence in Innovation short and brutal. Changes are vation is about making use of is the 'you need to smile'increasing in both scope and the whole organisation in order course of our time. speed. If things never changed, to make it equipped to challenge The definition of Innovation is businesses and organisations itself, innovate and be better to develop new solutions that are could continue to do what they prepared for the future. To open so attractive and useful that they are currently doing, and we up innovation for all competent are selected and are applied. wouldn't need to think and work minds is smarter, more collabo- Only one out of four major ordifferently. We wouldn't have to rative and leaves more room for ganisations in Norway think worry about situations where innovative solutions than having they succeed in some or a greatnew players suddenly appear only a small group of employ- er degree when it comes to innoand jerk the rug from under the ees, managers and maybe a few vation. Many have a false start, feet of established organisations consultants responsible for inno- with an idea and a thought about and business areas. We could vation. have taught ourselves new tech- Research on "Workplace Inno- "smart, exciting and something nology when we were tired of vation" and employee-driven that's definitely achievable." the old, instead of having to innovation teaches us how to Even though you could imagine learn something new when involve the entire organisation in such a solution and you enjoy you've just gotten used to the challenging, renewing and de- the challenge, the chances are

previous. Rapid changes makes veloping every aspects of the that you are unlikely to succeed. us vulnerable. We are reminded business, and how to make man- However, if the end goal is rootabout our privileged position agement comfortable with inno- ed in a need for change based on when our greatest concern is that vation management. In collabo- actual challenges in the business our new environmentally friend- ration with NTNU (The Norwe- rather than a preconceived idea ly diesel-car turns out to be a gian University of Science and or solution, the chances for sucserious pollution source instead Technology) we have trans- cess doubles, according to the during just one short and cold formed research and findings research. into a practical training method- Innovation is about far more

investment". However, "risk of vative at work

tion that goes against these pro- mon features worth sharing:

something that seems to be

Some businesses make a con- ology for the workplace and than just products, services and scious decision to keep chang- identified four priority areas for new technology. It includes ing. It's easy to forget that businesses that want to succeed everything from how the busichoosing not to change is also a with "Workplace Innovation" ness is organised, who to collabdecision. ROI is usually associa and employee-driven innovation. orate with, to how we communiated with the risks of investing Innovation at the workplace - cate and create commitment and in assets - meaning "return on four factors that make us inno- engagement to the values and attitudes we choose to promote. ignorance" would also be a cor- A study by IRIS / NTNU looks At a societal level innovation rect interpretation of the acro- at a wide range of twenty organ- can be about finding new and nym. Managers are not only isations, which all have in com- effective solutions to municipal responsible for their own decimon that that they succeed with structures, solve challenges arissions, but also for the questions involving employees in renew- ing from an aging population, they did not ask, relevant ing, innovation and improve- reception and inclusion of asythoughts they left in the back off ment. From this study and from lum seekers and refugees into their minds and the decisions the participants in the innovation the Norwegian society and the they didn't execute. Thankfully, courses we run for organisations, development of new industries help is at hand - there's a solu- we have discovered some com- where cornerstone companies downsize and are forced to close

The First

The First

Page 14 **SPE Innovation**

down. MDG (The Norwegian Green Party) organisation with knowledge about innovation within the business is characterised by toler-

Many are - with good reason - unsure how to mean the end of the business. create new solutions. "Where do you start 2) A culture that discourage or encourage vation with open arms with innovation and what procedures need to innovation nent of its value chain.

trial and error process only. Employee-driven business and experience joy and pride in be-participation rather than a 'top-down' hierar-

define themselves as being on nature's side and training them to be able to identify needs ance about the fact that everyone's differand refuse to be defined within the more so- and develop solutions and new values around ent, and that we can all make mistakes and be cialist or conservative parties policies on the creation. When we cultivate the ability to open about it so that everyone can learn from issue. Thus, we as a society are challenged to innovate within all levels of an organisation it. Openness is cited as the main factor. Evethink in new ways when it comes to the di- we become more adaptable and sturdy in the ryone having a good understanding of the mension of party-ideology. When Robert face of change. We can develop valuable organisation and having an expectation of Reich speaks to students about the need for improvements and in some cases the value everyone's need to contribute to the developcohesion in the US economy, he is urging added will be formidable. Without having ment of the business lifts both the ability to them to innovate and contribute to the devel- such expertise internally the process of inno- innovate and the level of engagement from opment of new political and ideological solu-vation can be costly trial and error experience, the employees. or become nothing at all - which in time can 3) A good work- and employee- environ-

be followed?" Many in Norway remember Jan When it comes to culture we think of how we both Norwegian and Swedish, that directly Carlzon's unrelenting focus on spreading high do things here in our culture. The Swedish translated means 'Employeeship'. This term is quality customer service into the SAS organi- author Selma Lagerlöf said that "culture is rooted in the Nordic culture where equality, zation in the 80s. Competence in Innovation is what is left when you have forgotten what you equal worth and democratic mindset are existthe 'you need to smile' - course of our time. It have learned." Businesses that succeed with ing qualities and values that are taken for is a skill everyone within an organisation need employee-driven innovation have some cul- granted both in society and at work. We see to have at heart if the organisation wants to tural similarities: The culture is characterised managers more like a colleague with some challenge itself, be able to handle change by mutually trusting relationships, both be- management responsibility than as a distant quickly and improve and renew every compo- tween employees and in relation to manage- and elevated position. 'Employeeship' in this ment. It feels safe to share knowledge and sense stands for a human-centred organisa-Many people misunderstand innovation as a ideas. Employees identify themselves with the tion, a mindset focusing on partnership and innovation is about equipping people in the ing able to help develop it further. The culture chical structure.

ment ('Medarbeiderskap') welcomes inno-

'Medarbeiderskap' is a term that exists in



Page 15 **SPE Innovation**

(The Enterprise Federation of Norway), holders outside the group. duced sick-leave.

Employee-driven innovation demands a team simultaneously not killing the innovation spirit where every player helps each other to initiative. We have experienced the horror grow and values their individual strengths. scenarios where engaged employees deliver

and employees have a shared responsibility the Department of Learning at The University having to defend the idea in front of a leaderautonomy and engagement is evident in busi- failed because they cooperated and communi- preciated. nesses that succeed with employee-driven cated poorly and others that failed because The new oil is not in the ocean or deep within innovation. You yourself might test how creathey worked so closely that they went into the the mountains. It is found in the underused tive and innovative you are when you are 'group think' trap. A third danger is becoming resource of employee-driven innovation - we disengaged and feel like you aren't being so welded and introverted that you as a group just need new methods of encouraging it. listened to. A study conducted by VIRKE disregard involvement of important stake-

shows that entrepreneurs have more job satis- 4) A culture of innovation requires innovafaction than others. This also applies to em- tion in how the management operates too ployees who has the safe space to innovate For managers to encourage their employees to and develop new projects in their work. Have excel at innovation, it is required that managing both the organisation and the employees ers at all levels have a good understanding of in development fuel positive ripple effects. what distinguishes innovation from other Organisations that have succeeded with emprocesses and projects, and how much more ployee-driven innovation may experience fragile and sensitive innovation can be in significant additional benefits in terms of comparison to other processes. It is a finely increased attendance, productivity and re-tuned balancing act for managers to stop ideas and innovation that aren't relevant, whilst

Good 'Employeeship' means that managers PhD and Associate Professor in Innovation at dreams and visions for the business and then for their own development, to develop each of Aarhus, Lotte Darsø, defines competence ship acting like a ruthless courtroom. Other other and the business. Employees need room in innovation as a social intelligence and comtimes, we have seen leaders who take the and the possibility to control their own work petence where we are good at cooperation and credit for their employee's idea and then end to see the possibilities, think in new ways and benefitting from each other's differences. We up losing their most valuable employees to act accordingly. The relationship between have experienced innovation team that has another employer where they hope to be ap-

Didn't read our latest magazine? Don't worry. Visit our archive: http://connect.spe.org/oslo/communityresources/news/magazine

